

# EXHIBIT C

1 BARBARA I. ANTONUCCI (State Bar No. 209039)

2 bantonucci@constangy.com

3 AARON M. RUTSCHMAN (State Bar No. 288273)

4 arutschman@constangy.com

5 **CONSTANGY, BROOKS, SMITH & PROPHETE LLP**

6 351 California, Suite 200

7 San Francisco, California 94104

8 Telephone: (415) 918.3000

9 Facsimile: (415) 918.3005

10 Attorneys for Defendant

11 TESLA, INC. DBA TESLA MOTORS, INC.

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

13 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14 DEMETRIC DI-AZ, OWEN DIAZ and  
15 LAMAR PATTERSON, an individual,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 vs.

18 TESLA, INC. DBA TESLA MOTORS,  
19 INC.; CITISTAFF SOLUTIONS, INC.;  
WEST VALLEY STAFFING GROUP;  
CHARTWELL STAFFING SERVICES,  
INC. and DOES 1-10, inclusive ,

20 Defendants.

21 Case No. 3:17-cv-06748-WHO

22 **DEFENDANT TESLA, INC. DBA  
TESLA MOTORS, INC.'S RESPONSE  
TO PLAINTIFF OWEN DIAZ'S  
REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF  
DOCUMENTS – SET ONE**

23 PROPOUNDING PARTY: Plaintiff, OWEN DI-AZ

24 RESPONDING PARTY: Defendant, TESLA, INC. DBA TESLA MOTORS,  
INC.

25 SET NO.: One

1 result of any complaint(s) made by any TESLA employee, contractor and/or agent  
2 about the use of the terms "Nigger" or "Nigga" at the TESLA FACTORY.

3 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:**

4 Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overbroad,  
5 ambiguous, vague and uncertain with regard to the phrase "all DOCUMENTS  
6 RELATING TO any discipline imposed as a result of any complaint(s) made by any  
7 TESLA employee, contractor and/or agent about the use of the terms 'Nigger' or  
8 'Nigga' at the TESLA FACTORY." Defendant further objects to this request to the  
9 extent that it seeks information not relevant to any party's claims or defenses nor  
10 proportional to the needs of this case. Defendant further objects to this request on the  
11 grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing to the extent that it seeks  
12 information and documents "RELATING TO" other documents. Defendant further  
13 objects to this request to the extent that it seeks documents and information pertaining  
14 to employees or former employees of Defendant and thereby seeks to invade privacy  
15 rights established by the California Constitution. Defendant further objects on the  
16 grounds it seeks information or documents that are protected by the attorney-client  
17 privilege and/or by the attorney work product doctrine. Defendant further objects to  
18 this request on the grounds that it is burdensome and harassing in that it is overbroad  
19 and vague and ambiguous as to time and not limited to Plaintiff or the specific  
20 department(s) Plaintiff temporarily worked in.

21  
22 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:**

23 Please produce all DOCUMENTS detailing complaints of harassment based on  
24 race or color against any TESLA employee at the TESLA FACTORY since 2010. (In  
25 responding to this request, complaint refers to both employee filed with administrative  
26 agencies and in court.)

27 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:**

1       Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overbroad,  
2 ambiguous, vague and uncertain with regard to the phrase “all DOCUMENTS  
3 detailing complaints of harassment based on race or color against any TESLA  
4 employee at the TESLA FACTORY since 2010. (In responding to this request,  
5 complaint refers to both employee filed with administrative agencies and in court.”)  
6 Defendant further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information not  
7 relevant to any party’s claims or defenses nor proportional to the needs of this case.  
8 Defendant further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks documents and  
9 information pertaining to employees or former employees of Defendant and thereby  
10 seeks to invade privacy rights established by the California Constitution. Defendant  
11 further objects on the grounds it seeks information or documents that are protected by  
12 the attorney-client privilege and/or by the attorney work product doctrine. Defendant  
13 further objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome and harassing in  
14 that it is overbroad as to time and not limited to Plaintiff or the specific department(s)  
15 Plaintiff temporarily worked in and it seeks information and documents that are  
16 equally available to Plaintiff through public court records.

17

18 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22:**

19       Please produce the entire investigation files for any complaints of harassment  
20 based on race or color made against TESLA or its employees at the TESLA  
21 FACTORY since 2010. (In responding to this request, complaint refers to both  
22 employee complaints to TESLA and complaints filed with administrative agencies and  
23 in court.).

24 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22:**

25       Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overbroad,  
26 ambiguous, vague and uncertain with regard to the phrase “the entire investigation  
27 files for any complaints of harassment based on race or color made against TESLA or

1 its employees at the TESLA FACTORY since 2010. (In responding to this request,  
 2 complaint refers to both employee complaints to TESLA and complaints filed with  
 3 administrative agencies and in court).” Defendant further objects to this request to the  
 4 extent that it seeks information not relevant to any party’s claims or defenses nor  
 5 proportional to the needs of this case. Defendant further objects to this request to the  
 6 extent that it seeks documents and information pertaining to employees or former  
 7 employees of Defendant and thereby seeks to invade privacy rights established by the  
 8 California Constitution. Defendant further objects on the grounds it seeks information  
 9 or documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or by the attorney  
 10 work product doctrine. Defendant further objects to this request on the grounds that it  
 11 is burdensome and harassing in that it is overbroad as to time and not limited to  
 12 Plaintiff or the specific department(s) Plaintiff temporarily worked in.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23:**

14 Please produce all race harassment or discrimination policies of TESLA in  
 15 effect from 2010 to present.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23:**

17 Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overbroad,  
 18 ambiguous, vague and uncertain with regard to the phrase “all race harassment or  
 19 discrimination policies of TESLA in effect from 2010 to present.” Defendant further  
 20 objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information not relevant to any  
 21 party’s claims or defenses nor proportional to the needs of this case. Defendant  
 22 further objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome and harassing in  
 23 that it is overbroad as to time. Defendant further objects to the extent that Plaintiff  
 24 Owen Diaz was a temporary assignment To Tesla and not all Tesla policies applied to  
 25 him accordingly. Subject to and without waiving its objections and to the extent it is  
 26 understood, and reasonably limiting its response to those documents that pertain to  
 27 the claims or defenses in this case, Defendant responds: A diligent search and

1 seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and the  
2 attorney work product doctrine.

3

4 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:**

5 Please produce all DOCUMENTS that support any defense YOU have  
6 pleaded or will plead in this action.

7 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:**

8 Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overbroad,  
9 ambiguous, vague and uncertain with regard to the phrase “all DOCUMENTS that  
10 support any defense YOU have pleaded or will plead in this action.” Defendant  
11 further objects to this request on the grounds that it fails to comply with the  
12 requirements of Rule 34(b)(1)(A) by describing the documents sought “with  
13 reasonable particularity.” Defendant objects on the grounds that this request is  
14 duplicative of request no. 41 and therefore burdensome and harassing. Defendant  
15 further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks documents protected from  
16 disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work product doctrine.

17

18 Dated: June 4, 2018 CONSTANGY, BROOKS, SMITH & PROPHETE, LLP

19

20 By /s/ Barbara Antonucci

21 Barbara I. Antonucci

22 Aaron M. Rutschman

23 Attorneys for Defendant

24 TESLA INC., DBA TESLA MOTORS, INC.

25

26

27

28

## PROOF OF SERVICE

*U.S. District Court  
California Northern District (San Francisco)  
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 3:17-cv-06748-WHO*

I am over 18 years of age and not a party to the within entitled action. I am employed at the law firm of CONSTANGY, BROOKS, SMITH & PROPHETE LLP, and my business address is 2029 Century Park East, Suite 1100, Los Angeles, California 90067. On June 4, 2018, I served a copy of the following:

**1. DEFENDANT TESLA, INC. D/B/A TESLA MOTORS, INC.'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF OWEN DIAZ' REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS – SET ONE**

on the attorney(s) for the parties to this action by the following method:

X (BY MAIL) By placing same, with postage fully prepared, in the United States Mail, addressed as indicated below. I am readily familiar with the practices of these law offices for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Such correspondence is deposited with the United States Postal Service in the same day in the ordinary course of business.

Lawrence Anthony Organ  
Navruz Avloni  
California Civil Rights Law Group  
332 San Anselmo Avenue  
San Anselmo, CA 94960  
Tel.: 415-453-4740  
Fax: 415-785-7352  
Email: larry@civilrightsca.com  
Email: navruz@civilrightsca.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs'*  
**DEMETRIC DI-AZ, OWEN DIAZ,**

Fenn C. Horton , III  
Helene Anastasia Simvoulakis  
Pahl & McKay, APC  
225 West Santa Clara Street, Suite 1500  
San Jose, CA 95113-1752  
Tel.:408-286-5100  
Fax.: 408-286-5722  
Email: [fhorton@pahl-mccay.com](mailto:fhorton@pahl-mccay.com)  
Email: [hsimvoulakis@pahl-mccay.com](mailto:hsimvoulakis@pahl-mccay.com)

*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**WEST VALLEY STAFFING GROUP**

[FEDERAL] I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 4, 2018 at Los Angeles, California.

Los Angeles, California.  
Lorna Hatch  
Lorna Hatch